

## UNITED ST/ 3 DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTY, DOCKET NO.

	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		AT	TY, DOCKET NO.
	08/846,649	05/01/97	DINSDALE	M	090	928.A008
			-	EXAMINER		
	IM11/1208					
	BAKER & BOT			MAPL	ES T	PAPER NUMBER
	2001 ROSS A DALLAS TX 7			1745		5
				DATE	MAILED: 12	/08/98
	This is a communication t	from the examiner in	charge of your application.			
	COMMISSIONER OF PA				•	
			OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY			
	Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on				
	This action is FINAL.					
П	Since this application i	s in condition for a	llowance except for formal matters, prosecution	as to the	merits is	closed in
	accordance with the pr	ractice under Ex p	arte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
A st	nortened statutory perio	od for response to	this action is set to expire	mor	nth(s), or thi	rty days,
whi	chever is longer, from th	ne mailing date of t	his communication. Failure to respond within the S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained	period fo	r response	will cause
	аррисации то ресотте а 36(a).		5 700). Enteriorie di une may se obtained	U	- p. 21.0.011	
Dis	position of Claims					
				:-	hro nondi-	a in the application
Z	Claim(s) /-21ie/are pending in the application of the above, claim(s)ie/are withdrawn from conside					
	Claim(s)					s/are allowed.
$\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$	Claim(s)	21				<del>e/</del> are rejected.
	Claim(s)					are objected to.
Ш	Claim(s)		are subj	ect to res	striction or e	election requirement.
App	olication Papers					
	See the attached Notice	ce of Draftsperson	's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.	d		
×	The drawing(s) filed or	n <u>5-1-97</u>	is/are objected to			<b></b>
	The proposed drawing		n		approved	disapproved.
	The specification is ob The oath or declaration					
	the oaut of declaration	ii is objected to by	are Examiner.			
Pric	ority under 35 U.S.C. §	119				
	Acknowledgment is m	ade of a claim for t	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).			
	All Some*	None of the C	CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have	been		
	received.					
			Code/Serial Number)	<u> </u>		
	received in this na	ational stage applic	ation from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17	.2(a)).		
•	Certified copies not rec	eived:				·
	Acknowledgment is m	ade of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Atta	achment(s)					
	Notice of Reference C	ited, PTO-892				
			O-1449, Paper No(s)			
	nterview Summary, PTO-413					
	_		Project PTO 949			
	Notice of Draftperson's	•				
⊔	Notice of Informal Pate	ent Application, PT	U-152			

-SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES-

Application/Control Number: 08/846,649

Art Unit: 1745

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-16 and 21, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 429, subclass 1.
  - II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a method for making an apparatus, classified in class 29, subclass 623.1.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the process as claimed in Group II can be used to make another and materially different product than that set forth in Group I such as producing a hinge-a pivotal coupling, for the door and the battery compartment comprised of a pin and socket device.
- 3. Because the inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes is deemed proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Tom Felger on or around November 24, 1998 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-16

Application/Control Number: 08/846,649

Art Unit: 1745

and 21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 17-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-14 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wright, Jr. et al. (Wright).

See the Abstract of Wright along with column 3, line 36 through column 4, line 17; column 4, line 60 through column 5, line 10 and column 6, lines 11-38 and all of the drawing figures.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 10 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright.

Application/Control Number: 08/846,649 Page 4

Art Unit: 1745

The only claimed feature not taught by Wright is specific size of the battery utilized. To use a AA or AAA size battery in the teachings of Wright would have been obvious at the time the invention was made so that the user would not be restricted to using only one type of battery in the holder of Wright. The various size differences in the particular batteries could be accommodated by modifying the size of the battery holder of Wright.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to John S. Maples at telephone number (703) 308-1795.

JSM/12-7-98

JOHN S. MAPLES PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1745